UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,000	05/04/2005	Hideki Izumi	Meisei-12 PCT/Minori	2591	
	7590 02/02/200 ael, Schiffmiller & Pier	EXAMINER			
425 FIFTH AV 5TH FLOOR		TRUONG, DUC			
NEW YORK, NY 10016-2223			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/02/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/534,000	IZUMI, HIDEKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Duc Truong	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on RC This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 13,16-19 and 21-24 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13, 16-19 and 21-24 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	rawn from consideration. . /or election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal D 6) Other:	oate				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/02/09 has been entered.

Newly submitted claim 25 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: new claim 25 is not readable on the elected invention because the claim requires a further limitation such as desired MW, narrow MW distribution, low MW---, that would requires further consideration and search.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 25 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claims 13, 16-19, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patton et al (3,321,533).

Patton discloses a catalyst composition for producing a polyethylene oxide polymer (see col. 2, line 61; col. 4, line 51) wherein the catalyst composition comprises

a basic alkali metal hydroxide with a trialkylaluminum compound such as tri-isobutyl aluminum (R=R'=isobutyl) (see col. 1, lines 52-58; col. 2, lines 20-37; claims).

Claims 13, 16-19 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP-2000-256457 or JP-2002-293915 or JP-2002-128886.

JP-2000-256457 or JP-2002-293915- discloses a propylene oxide catalyst composition comprises an alkali metal alkoxide or an alkali metal hydroxide and an organic Lewis acid containing trialkylaluminum compound (see Abstracts, page 4, Table 1, #1,2,3 of '457 or [0030] of '915; or [0034] of '886).

The disclosures of the references differ from the instant claims in that they do not disclose the claimed polyethylene oxide polymer product. However, the JP references do disclose a similar product, a propylene oxide in that ethylene oxide and propylene oxide are included in the lower alkylene oxide, then one can replace other.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the propylene oxide by the claimed ethylene oxide since they have been shown to be effective in a similar system and thus would have been expected to provide adequate results, catalyst composition for producing a polyethylene oxide polymer. There is no showing of unexpected results derived from said replacement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,000 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Duc Truong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/534,000	IZUMI, HIDEKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Due Truona	1796	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20090129